Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,482	HAMMOND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chun Cao	2115
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Pending</u>
(1) <u>Chun Cao</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kyle Turley (Reg. No. 57,197).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2006	Time: <u>3:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	elicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 21		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GESE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn 	The examiner will provide a	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Typo error was disscussed regarding in applicant's response dated in 2/24/06. Claims 1-20 should be canceled instead of claims 1-21. Applicant agreed to amend the claims to correct the typo error as set forth in examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. . .